



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTICES OF NEW BOOKS.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE REPORTS, Vols. XXIX. and XXX., COMPRISING CASES ADJUDGED IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA. By JOSEPH CASEY, State Reporter. Vols. V. and VI. Containing Cases decided in May and October Terms, 1857, and January Term, 1858. Philadelphia: Kay & Brother. 1858. Vol. V. pp. 588. Vol. VI. pp. 576.

Mr. Casey's reports have all been quite satisfactory to the bar, and these volumes are no exception. Some cases in Vol. V., deserve some special attention: thus, the case of *Denison vs. Page*, p. 420, on the law of bastardy; *Born vs. Shaw*, p. 288, as to the validity of a foreign sale and transfer; *Weaver vs. Fegeley*, p. 29, as to weights and measures; *Fife vs. Com.*, p. 429, declaration of a prisoner under arrest for felony; *Okeson vs. Patterson*, p. 22, as to easements; *Hill vs. Johnston*, p. 362, as to exemptions; *Insurance Company vs. Schell*, p. 31, as to contract of insurance; *McClintock's Appeal*, p. 360, as to interest on an unsettled account; *McIntyre vs. Kennedy*, p. 448, and *Speers vs. Sterrit*, p. 192, as to when cross demands may be set off; *Whetstone vs. Bouser*, p. 39, as to the law of water-courses; *Burford vs. Burford*, p. 221, as to signing will.

And in the 6th volume, we have specially noticed: *Robinson vs. Bickley*, p. 384, as to the finding of arbitrators; *Miller's Appeal*, p. 478, as to auditor's reports; and *Porter's Appeal*, p. 496, on the same subject; *Kupfert vs. Building Association*, p. 465, and *Hughes' Appeal*, 471, which have materially modified common professional views as to Building Associations; *Sullivan vs. Reading R. R.*, p. 234, as to passenger carrier's contract; *Cresson's Estate*, pp. 425, 437, as to charitable bequests; *Mott vs. Pennsylvania R. R.*, p. 9, as to the State's taxing power; *Briggs vs. Large*, p. 287, as to distress for rent; *Hanley vs. O'Donald*, p. 261, *Freeman vs. Smith*, p. 264, and *Harleman vs. Bush*, p. 267, on the exemption law; *Kelsey vs. Murphy*, p. 340, as to interest on judgment; *Norris' Appeal*, p. 122, mechanic's lien; *Knight vs. Beenken*, p. 372, party wall; *Rogers vs. Gilinger*, p. 185, as to what constitutes realty; *Cabeen vs. Campbell*, p. 254, as to stoppage in transitu. All these cases are worthy of study, and will attract the notice of the profession.

We are also glad to find in the well prepared indexes of these volumes a title of cases overruled, doubted, denied, commented on, &c. Also, a carefully prepared title of the statutes cited, explained or commented on. Upon a pretty careful review and perusal of these two volumes of our State Reports, we have no reason to be dissatisfied with the reporter's labors. Some of the determinations made by the court are perhaps less satisfactory than could be wished; but they cannot here be discussed. We have already, in the pages of this journal, commented upon some cases, and others deserve to be challenged before they can be admitted to professional favor.

A TREATISE ON THE RIGHT OF PERSONAL LIBERTY, AND ON THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, AND THE PRACTICE CONNECTED WITH IT. With a view of the Law of Extradition of Fugitives. By ROLLIN C. HURD. Albany: W. C. Little & Co., Law Booksellers. 1858. pp. 677.

A good book on habeas corpus has long been required for our libraries, and we have this want supplied us in an acceptable manner. The law of habeas corpus will always attract the attention of the historical and legal student both, as no less interesting in its origin, than useful in its legal application.

Before Mr. Hurd's book, but little had been done. Mr. Hill, in a note to 6 Hill's Rep. 647, and a learned writer, understood to be the author of the "American Criminal Law," in the 6 Penn. Law Jour., together with Mr. Ingersoll, in his brief but excellent pamphlet, and a very elaborate and fully annotated article in 4 American Law Register, 257, seem to be pretty much all that we have heretofore had worth any special notice.

A perusal of this book will not fail to throw a vast amount of light upon this interesting and eminently practical subject. The method and arrangement of the learned author is luminous. The chapter on the subject of "the return," is certainly much more complete than any discussion elsewhere to be found.

The third book discusses the extradition of fugitives, and is admirably prepared, embracing all the cases up to the present time, methodically arranged and placed in a clear and excellent order. We are satisfied that Mr. Hurd's labors will receive, as they well deserve, the encomiums of his legal brethren.